International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005824

			000,0000	
A. CLASSIFIC Int.Cl ⁷	ATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A61K48/00, 31/7088, A61P35/00	, 35/02		
According to Into	ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national	classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SE.		·		
	entation searched (classification system followed by classification A61K48/00, 31/7088, A61P35/00			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2005 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2005 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2005				
	ase consulted during the international search (name of d (DIALOG), CAPLUS (STN), MEDLINE (ns used)	
C. DOCUMEN	TS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Y	MURATA, Yoji et al., The Wilm gene WT1 induces G1 arrest an myeloblastic leukemia M1 cell 1997, Vol.409, No.1, pages 41	d apoptosis in s, FEBS Letters,	1-7	
Y	INOUE, K. et al., Wilms' tumo competes with differentiation in hematopoietic progenitor c Vol.91, No.8, pages 2969 to 2	-inducing signal ells. Blood., 1998,	1-7	
Y	OJI, Y et al., Expression of gene WT1 in solid tumor and i in tumor cell growth, Japanes Cancer Research, 1999, Vol.90	ts involvement e Journal of	1-7	
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.				
Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.		"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention		
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is		"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone		
cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed.		"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 21 June, 2005 (21.06.05)		Date of mailing of the international search report 05 July, 2005 (05.07.05)		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.		

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/005824

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	DAVIES, Jamie A. et al., Development of an siRNA-based method for repressing specific genes in renal organ culture and its use to show that the Wt1 tumor suppressor is required for nephron differentiation, Human Molecular Genetics, 15 January, 2004 (15.01.04), Vol.13, No.2, pages 235 to 246	1-7
·		
		į

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005824

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The invention of claim 1 relates to a cytostatic agent comprising as an active ingredient any of the following: (a) double stranded RNA containing RNA complementary to a transcription product of WT1 gene and RNA complementary to the RNA, (b) DNA coding for the double stranded RNA (a), and (c) vector having the DNA (b) inserted therein. However, as the siRNA targeting WT1 gene is publicly known, there is no common matter, considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, to the invention of claims 1-7, invention of claims 8-12, invention of claims 13-14, invention of claims 15-16, invention of claims 17-18 and invention of claims 19-20. (continued to extra sheet)
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. X No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005824

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet(2)

Consequently, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 can be found among the different inventions. Therefore, as among these inventions, there is no technical relationship involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features, it does not appear that these inventions are linked with each other so as to form a single general inventive concept.